

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/696,139	10/25/2000	Robert A. Sanderson	09850-005005	2871
759	90 05/22/2002			
GARY A. WALPERT			EXAMINER	
Fish & Richards 225 Franklin Str	reet		KAMEN,	NOAH P
Boston, MA 02110-2804			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	
		DATE MAILED: 05/22/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		8				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/696,139	SANDERSON ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Noah Kamen	3747				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover she t with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replant of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	, ;				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ The contract of the contrac	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 45-82 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	·				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 45-47,49,50,54-62 and 66-82 is/are in	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45-47,49,50,54-62 and 66-82</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>48,52,53 and 63-65</u> is/are objected to	0.	ellar market e				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		aminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappr	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pric application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ority documents have been receivureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ved in this National Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has been re	ceived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1	5) Notice of Informat	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s): Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 45-47, 49, 50, 54-62, 66-68, 72, 73, and 77-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitaguchi (5,007,385) in view of Lind (UK 220,594).

Kitaguchi shows in figure 5 a transition arm 3 supported on a stationary support via universal joint 4. While figure 5 shows only a single ended piston, figure 2 shows a double ended piston. The claimed joint and guide rod are not shown. Lind shows the recited joint absent the sliding members. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the joint of Lind for any joint in Kitaguchi for efficiently transferring forces. The modification of replacing a piston with a guide rod is well within the abilities for one of ordinary skill in the art. The sliding members read on low friction surface treatments such as nitriding. In regard to claim 81, the pump reads on an oil pump. In regard to claim 82, the compressor reads on a supercharger which is a well known expedient for increasing power output.

Claim 51 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitaguchi in view of Lind as applied to claim 45 above, and further in view of Whatley (1,577,010). To modify Kitaguchi to have drive arms able to rotate about their axis for lower friction would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of Whatley.

Claims 69-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitaguchi in view of Almen (RE15,442).

Kitaguchi shows in figure 5 a transition arm 3 supported on a stationary support via universal joint 4. While figur 5 shows only a single ended piston, figure 2 shows a double-ended piston. The claimed joint is not shown. Almen shows the recited joint in figure 3. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the joint of Almen for any in Kitaguchi for efficiently transferring forces.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 48, 52, 53, and 63-65 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Noah Kamen whose telephone number is 703 308 1945. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on 308 2696. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9302 for regular communications and 703 308 7766 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308 0861.

Noah Kamen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3747

nk May 8, 2002